Loyola Law School PATENT LAW Fall 2019 / Syllabus VERSION 2.0

Professors Ben Haber and Justin Hughes

BASIC INFORMATION and SYLLABUS - version 03

INTERNET USE DURING CLASS IS STRICTLY FORBIDDEN

Class room: C401

Class times: Mondays and Wednesday, 1:10-2:40pm

+ As part of the regular LLS schedule, we will **NOT** have class on **Monday**, **2 September** for Labor Day and **Wednesday**, **9 October** for Yom Kippur.

+ We MAY have a make-up class on Monday, 8 October – regular time and classroom. Please schedule it.

Materials

ROBERT P. MERGES AND JOHN F. DUFFY, PATENT LAW AND POLICY (7th edition, Carolina Academic Press, 2017) and some additional supplemental materials on TWEN.

Class recordings

Class recordings are available only upon request and approval.

Evaluation

Grading in the course will be based on a final, 24-hour take home examination. In addition, class participation may be taken into account in assigning the student's final grade.

Law school-wide notices

Reasonable Accommodations: Students in need of reasonable accommodations may review the application guidelines and appeals process at - https://my.lls.edu/studentaffairs/disabilityaccommodations. For additional information, a student may contact Student Accessibility Services (SAS) in the Office of Student Affairs at accessibility@lls.edu or 213-736-8151.

Reporting Requirements of Sexual or Interpersonal Misconduct. As responsible employees, faculty are required to report any case of suspected sexual or interpersonal misconduct and cannot protect student confidentiality. For information about confidential counseling on campus and for general information about consensual relationships, sexual harassment, and sexual assault, please review the following information on the Office of Student Affairs webpage: Student-on-Student Sexual Misconduct &

Interpersonal Conduct Policy & Protocol; LLS & Community Sexual Assault & Interpersonal Misconduct Resource Contact List; and Project Callisto.

Internet use during class is strictly forbidden

As part of class participation, absolutely NO internet use is permitted in the class. *Students found to be using the internet during class may be referred to the Academic Standing Committee and/or Professors Haber and Hughes may lower of the student's final grade significantly.*

OVERVIEW

This course provides an introduction and overview of U.S. patent law. We will begin with a brief introduction into the nature and history of patents as well as the legal institutions that make up the American patent system. We will then explore the constituent elements of a patent and how those relate to key requirements for patenting an invention: utility, "enablement" and written description of the invention, novelty, and "non-obviousness." The course will also explore the complex (and fluid) question of what kinds of scientific and technological advances are patentable subject matter and what kinds are not. The course then takes up "claim construction," the process by which courts interpret the metes and bounds of the patentee's rights and the broader topics of patent infringement and remedies against infringers.

SYLLABUS

version 2.0 – 19 September 2019

Unless noted, all page assignments are from the casebook.

I. INTRODUCTION – THE NATURE OF PATENTS AND THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE PATENT SYSTEM Skim

Read	3 - 15	[Historical Overview of Patent Law to (and including) Figure 1-1]
	19 – 64 68 – 73	[Architecture of a Modern Patent until E. Globalization] [a. Patent Term until 4. Continuing Evolution]

II. REQUIREMENTS FOR AN INVENTION TO BE PATENTABLE

Read

A. UTILITY REQUIREMENT

193 – 204	[until Notes]
226 – 237	[Note#6 through Inre Fisher]

B. ENABLEMENT AND WRITTEN DESCRIPTION – 35 USC \$112

Read

250 – 275	[Anatomy of \$112 until Note on the Temporal Paradox]
279 – 289	["C" until 2. Limitations on Claims Breadth]
293 – 302	[Ariad Pharmaceuticals until D. Definite Claims]

C. DEFINITENESS AND FUNCTIONAL CLAIMING Read [D. Definite Claims until E. Definiteness in Functional Claims] 302-310 Skim 310 - 321 [E. Definiteness in Functional Claims to end of chapter 4] Read Williamson v. Citrix Online (Fed. Cir. 2015) [skim intro and read TWEN Reading: parts I.A and II.C TWEN Reading: Aristocrat Technologies v. International Game Technologies (Fed. Cir. 2008) D. THE NOVELTY REQUIREMENT Read [until Notes on Pfaff] 323-369 TWEN Reading: Helsinn Healthcare v. Teva through Notes on Helsinn 394-403 [C. Standard for anticipation until In re Seaborg] [3. The 'Enablement Standard' ... and In re Hafner] 415-417 451-478 [E. Dates of Invention . . . until Trade Secrets, \$ 102(g) . . .] E. NON-OBVIOUSNESS Read [until Note on the Evolution of the "Invention" Standard] 511 - 527["B" through Graham v. John Deere Co.] 531 - 551 [KSR International v. Teleflex until 9. Academic Commentary] 569 - 582[Objective Indicia in Obviousness Cases through Arkie Lures v. Gene Larew Tackle] 600 - 604PATENTABLE SUBJECT MATTER Read 75 - 95[until Notes on Chakrabarty and Bilski] [B. Natural Laws and Natural Principles to Rapid Litigation Mgmt.] 102 - 116[C. Natural Products and Natural Phenomena to Notes on Myriad] 128 - 137[6. The Patent Ineligibility of Clones to end of page 163] 140 - 163[possibly more to come] CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND INFRINGEMENT Read [B. Interpreting Claims to Note on Canons of Claim Construction] 652 - 668[5. Procedural Aspects of Claim Construction through Note #4] 694 - 705[The Doctrine of Equivalents to Note #8] 706 - 728[Indirect Infringement until Notes] 756 - 763 [Global-Tech through Commil] 765 - 780

AND IF WE HAVE TIME

III.

IV.

VI. REMEDIES

791 – 796 [Remedies through eBay] 817 – 833 [Lucent Technologies]

Once again, Internet use during class is strictly forbidden #### END OF SYLLABUS version 3 ####